UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/663,781	09/17/2003	Yohei Yamamoto	242920US2	2965
22850 7590 12/19/2007 OBLON, SPIVAK, MCCLELLAND MAIER & NEUSTADT, P.C.		EXAMINER		
1940 DUKE STREET ALEXANDRIA, VA 22314			HA, LEYNNA A	
ALEXANDRIA	A, VA 22314		ART UNIT PAPER NUMBER	
			2135	
			NOTIFICATION DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			12/19/2007	ELECTRONIC

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Notice of the Office communication was sent electronically on above-indicated "Notification Date" to the following e-mail address(es):

patentdocket@oblon.com oblonpat@oblon.com jgardner@oblon.com

		- FA			
	Application No.	Applicant(s)			
Advisory Action	10/663,781	YAMAMOTO ET AL.			
Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief	Examiner	Art Unit			
•	LEYNNA T. HA	2135			
The MAILING DATE of this communication app	pears on the cover sheet with the	correspondence address			
THE REPLY FILED 26 November 2007 FAILS TO PLACE TH	IS APPLICATION IN CONDITION F	OR ALLOWANCE.			
1. The reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to or of this application, applicant must timely file one of the folking places the application in condition for allowance; (2) a N a Request for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliant time periods:	on the same day as filing a Notice of pwing replies: (1) an amendment, af lotice of Appeal (with appeal fee) in nce with 37 CFR 1.114. The reply m	Appeal. To avoid abandonment of fidavit, or other evidence, which compliance with 37 CFR 41.31; or (3)			
a) The period for reply expires 3 months from the mailing da		in the final rejection, whichever is later. In			
	or reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this Advisory Action, or (2) the date set forth in the final rejection, whichever is later. In wever, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of the final rejection.				
Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or TWO MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP		E FIRST REPLY WAS FILED WITHIN			
Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The dat have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of e under 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office late may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b) NOTICE OF APPEAL 2. The Notice of Appeal was filed on A brief in com-	extension and the corresponding amount e shortened statutory period for reply orige er than three months after the mailing data b).	of the fee. The appropriate extension fee pinally set in the final Office action; or (2) as ate of the final rejection, even if timely filed,			
filing the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any ext a Notice of Appeal has been filed, any reply must be file AMENDMENTS					
 The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection (a) They raise new issues that would require further c (b) They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE bel (c) They are not deemed to place the application in beappeal; and/or 	onsideration and/or search (see NC low);	TE below);			
(d) They present additional claims without canceling a NOTE: (See 37 CFR 1.116 and 41.33(a)		jected claims.			
4. The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1. 5. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s 6. Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be a	121. See attached Notice of Non-Cos):				
non-allowable claim(s).					
7. For purposes of appeal, the proposed amondment(s): a -how the new or amonded claims would be rejected is professional to the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows: Claim(s) allowed: Claim(s) objected to: Claim(s) rejected: Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:		ill be entered and an explanation or			
AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE	·				
 The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, because applicant failed to provide a showing of good a was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e). 					
The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to	g a Notice of Appeal, but prior to the overcome all rejections under appe	e date of filing a brief, will <u>not</u> be eal and/or appellant fails to provide a			

U.S. Patent and Trademark Office PTOL-303 (Rev. 08-06)

13. Other: ____.

REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER

See Continuation Sheet.

showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessary and was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 41.33(d)(1).

10. The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanation of the status of the claims after entry is below or attached.

12. Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s). (PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s).

11. The request for reconsideration has been considered but does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because:

Continuation of 11. does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: claims 1-36 and 49-81 remains rejected in view of Harada and Isozu.

Examiner traverses the arguments on pg.17-19 regarding claim 1, that Harada does not disclose the same function as claim 1 "authentication information acquisition request receiving means for receiving an acquisition request from a first client apparatus" and that the prior art does not include means for receiving from the first client apparatus. The claimed receiving means did not specifically define the authentication information acquisition receiving means and the session start receiving means, if these two means are different entities, machines, or of the same machine or program. Thus, the claimed receiving means can broadly be interpreted as any means (such as in the form of an apparatus, device, program, etc.) to receive and that these two receiving means can be of the same device or system that offers services.

Harada discloses an interactive television system which can provide various services on demand from users where such a system can determine the particular user or category of user, the types of service which will be provided to a user in response to a request that is input to the system by using a remote control apparatus (col.5, lines 53-60). Harada further discusses that the terminal apparatus that communicates to the center apparatus by sending a message data set consists of polling request data or service request data (col.7, lines 65-col.7, lines 1). Thus, the center apparatus obviously include receiving means in order to receive the request data from the terminal apparatus (col.8, lines 2-3). Harada discloses the center apparatus comprises means for sending a polling data set to the terminal apparatus comprising polling request data, a personal attribute information items, and polling eligibility conditions data for specifying conditions (col.9, lines 9-15). Harada further discloses in response to the input of the service request data, reading out the user identifier and the remote control apparatus identifier and for attaching the user identifier and the remote control apparatus identifier to the service request data to form a message data set and means for sending the message data set to the terminal apparatus (col.10, lines 58 - col.11, lines 6). The terminal apparatus comprises means for receiving the polling request data set (col.9, lines 17-20). This obviously suggests the terminal apparatus as the first apparatus requesting and obtaining authentication information to establish a session having a limited right with respect to the service offering apparatus and objects.

Harada suggests the center apparatus hold information that relates to each of the remote control apparatus identifiers to the identifier of the terminal apparatus corresponding to that remote control apparatus (col.6, lines 25-35 and col.16, lines 15-20). This obviously suggest the center apparatus receives information containing authentication information from a second apparatus which is the remote control apparatus different from the first apparatus which is the terminal apparatus. Although, Harada included requesting to start a session and multiple client apparatuses, but did not particularly point out receiving a start request for requesting a start of the session containing the authentication information from a second client apparatus different from said first client apparatus to which the authentication information is transmitted

Isozu teaches communications terminal apparatus and program storage medium capable of switching terminals at the timing desired by the user, and further capable of continuously receiving data on the terminal used after switching terminals (col.2, lines 9-14). Isozu discloses accepting messages from the terminal such as request to switch terminals (col.7, lines 45-47 and 63-65). Terminals (i.e. PDA, terminal A or B, PC, desktop) are referring to the claimed first client apparatus and second apparatus (col.1, lines 35-47). Isozu discloses a message requesting the start of a session in progress or a terminal (col.8, lines 64-65). Further, discloses call is a message informing another terminal with information about a session in progress or information on a session temporarily stopped (paused) that if sent after a pause, the receive can be switched to the other terminal with terminal information (col.8, lines 64-65 and col.9, lines 3-45). Isozu broadly suggests authentication information in the IP header and packet information (col.6, lines 20-61). Isozu discloses a network interface for sending and receiving data to and from each terminal (col.9, lines 51-53). Thus, Isozu's teaching of the interface for receiving a message requesting the start of a session from multiple terminals and switching to another terminal using information (col.9, lines 13-67) reads on the claimed session start request receiving means for receiving a start requesting a start of the session containing the authentication information from a second client apparatus that is different from the first client apparatus to which authentication information is transmitted.

Therefore, it would have been obvious for a person of ordinary skills in the art to combine the teaching of Harada with Isozu teaching receiving a start request for requesting a start of the session containing the authentication information from a second client apparatus that is different from the first client apparatus (col.8, lines 64-65 and col.9, lines 3-45) because this results in continuously receiving data on one terminal by another terminal (col.2, lines 9-14).

\ \ \ KIM VU